

REGULATION on the Procedure for Holding the “AI HEALTH” Hackathon-2026 Republican Competition

1. General Provisions

1.1. This Regulation defines the procedure for organizing and conducting the “AI HEALTH” inter-university hackathon (hereinafter referred to as the Hackathon).

1.2. The Hackathon is held with the purpose of developing innovative solutions in the healthcare sector based on artificial intelligence technologies.

1.3. The Hackathon shall be organized in two stages:

Stage I — internal selection stage of the higher education institution (HEI);

Stage II — republican final stage within the framework of the Festival.

1.4. The Hackathon shall be held among students of state and non-state higher education institutions within the territory of the Republic.

1.5. The Hackathon shall be coordinated by the Organizing Committee.

2. Goals and Objectives of the Hackathon

2.1. Support for innovative developments based on artificial intelligence in the field of healthcare.

2.2. Development of integration of IT and medicine among students.

2.3. Identification of talented youth and their involvement in startup activities.

2.4. Development of technological solutions to pressing problems in the healthcare system.

3. Participants

3.1. Students of higher education institutions shall participate in the Hackathon in team format.

3.2. Each team shall consist of 2 to 5 participants.

3.3. Each higher education institution, based on the results of the internal selection, shall recommend a specified number of teams to the final stage.

3.4. One participant may take part in only one team.

4. Hackathon Directions

The Hackathon shall be held in the following directions:

- Analysis of medical data based on artificial intelligence;
- AI solutions for diagnostics;
- Telemedicine and mobile healthcare platforms;
- Health monitoring systems;
- Prevention and disease forecasting systems.

5. Procedure for Conducting the Hackathon

5.1. Stage I — Internal Selection of the HEI

5.1.1. Each higher education institution shall independently organize the internal selection stage.

5.1.2. Participants shall submit the following:

- description of the project idea;
- relevance of the problem;
- concept of the technical solution;
- minimal prototype or demo (if available).

5.1.3. Teams that score the highest points shall receive admission to Stage II.

5.2. Stage II — Festival Final Stage

5.2.1. The final stage shall be held within the framework of the Festival in the format of a 24–48 hour intensive hackathon or a pitch format based on a ready project.

5.2.2. Teams shall present the following:

- working MVP or demo version;
- 5–7 minute pitch presentation;
- technical explanation (AI model, algorithm, architecture).

5.2.3. Evaluation criteria for Stages I and II (100 points):

No	Evaluation criteria	Total points
1.	Relevance and done increase opportunity	20 points
	Medical or Social feasibility: Scientific research is a work, idea , or project 's analytical and statistic information The accuracy must match.	
	1. International global medical scale and social problems clear interpretation	15-20 points
	2. Implementation clear , infrastructure requirements suitable	8-14 points
3	This in the direction research exists , but additional resources need .	0-7 points
.		
2.	Innovation and news level	25 points
	Presentation novelty: Scientific research work , idea or in the project information practice and	

	theory with compared must be new and original. Medical and the possibility of introducing social innovation: Scientific research work, idea or project is relevant should offer innovative solutions to current issues in the field .	
1.	Completely new and advanced solution	17-25 points
2.	Partially new medical or social elements there is	8-16 points
3.	Innovation less or no	0-7 points
3.	MVP existence , formation level	25 points
	Passing tests: Science research work , idea or The project must have successfully passed laboratory or social testing. Technical indicators: Documents, calculations, and test results confirming the technical parameters of a scientific research work, idea, or project are provided.	
1.	Tests successful past , medical-social efficiency confirmed confirmatory documents , pictures and video materials existence .	15-20 points
2.	Test results partially available , additional experiments are required .	8-14 points
3.	Test results or technician indicators presented not yet arrived .	0-7 points
4.	Scientific validity and scientific literature with work	10 points
	Based on scientific research: A scientific research work, idea, or project must be based on scientific evidence, both theoretically and practically . Accuracy of working with scientific literature: A scientific research paper, idea, or project must provide technical specifications, data from scientific literature, and statistics.	
1.	All descriptions complete and scientific based.Scientific literature with worked	8-10 points
2.	Partially based on , but medical-social in the description disadvantages there is .	5-7 points
3.	Scientific evidence or scientific literature with work enough it's not .	0-4 points
5.	Questions and answers	15 points
	Scientific and practical participant in terms of Selection commission members to questions answer to give need .	
1.	Scientific based complete	11-15 points
2.	Hesitations available , partial complete	6-10 points
3.	Answer no or scientific to the basics right did not come answer .	0-5 points
6.	Presentation quality and project presentation	10 points
	Clarity and precision: Scientific research work , idea The project must be clear, understandable, and logically based. Presentation format: The visual presentation should be of high quality, with informative graphics, diagrams, and drawings.	
1.	Complete , clear and thorough prepared presentation	8-10 points
2.	Average presentation , main thoughts illuminated	4-7 points
3.	Enough at the level unprepared or presentation no	0-3 points
Final score		100 points

6. Jury Panel

6.1. The Jury Panel shall consist of IT specialists, medical experts, startup and investment representatives approved by the Festival Organizing Committee.

6.2. The decision of the Jury shall be final and shall not be subject to review, except in cases of appeal.

7. Determination and Awarding of Winners

7.1. Teams with the highest scores shall take I, II and III places.

7.2. Additional nominations may be established.

7.3. Winners shall be awarded diplomas, certificates and established prizes.

8. Financing

8.1. The Hackathon shall be financed from the Festival budget, sponsors and partner organizations' funds.

8.2. The prize fund shall be approved separately.

9. Final Provisions

9.1. In case plagiarism is identified, the team shall be disqualified from the Hackathon.

9.2. The copyright of the project shall belong to the team.

9.3. Issues not provided for in this Regulation shall be resolved by the Organizing Committee.

9.4. This Regulation shall enter into force from the date of its approval.